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What is Xprobe?

Written and maintained by Fyodor Yarochkin and Ofir Arkin, Xprobe is an Active OS fingerprinting tool based on Ofir Arkin’s ICMP Usage In Scanning Research project (http://www.sys-security.com).

Xprobe is an alternative to some tools which are heavily dependent upon the usage of the TCP protocol for remote active operating system fingerprinting.

This is especially true when trying to identify some Microsoft based operating systems, when TCP is the protocol being used with the fingerprinting process. Since the TCP implementation with Microsoft Windows XP & Microsoft Windows 2000 and Microsoft Windows ME, and with Microsoft Windows NT 4 and Microsoft Windows 98/98SE are so close, usually when using the TCP protocol with a remote active operating systems fingerprinting process we are unable to differentiate between these Microsoft based operating system groups.

…And this is only an example.
What is Xprobe?

As we will demonstrate the number of datagrams we need to send and receive in order to remotely fingerprint a targeted machine with Xprobe is small. Very small.

In fact we can send one datagram and receive one reply and this will help us identify up to eight different operating systems (or groups of operating systems).

The maximum amount of packets used to successfully identify an operating system is maximum of 4 sent, and maximum of 4 received.

…This makes Xprobe very fast as well.
What is Xprobe?

Xprobe probes can be very stealthy.

Xprobe does not send any malformed datagrams to detect a remote OS type, unlike the common fingerprinting methods. Xprobe analyzes the remote OS TCP/IP stack responses for valid packets.

Heaps of such packets appear in an average network on daily basis and very few IDS systems are tuned to detect such traffic (and those which are, presumably are very badly configured).*

Usually when people see the types of datagrams being used by Xprobe, they will think that what have happened was a simple Host Detection attempt, while in fact the replying machines were not only detected, but their underlying operating systems were revealed as well.

In the future Xprobe will be using actual application data with its probes. This will help in disguising the real intentions of the probes, and make Xprobe transparent to a lot of IDS systems.
What is Xprobe?

Xprobe might change the traditional intelligence gathering approach. With the traditional approach we need to detect the availability of a Host (using a Host Detection method), find a service it is running (using port scanning), and than identify the underlying operating system (with a remote active operating system fingerprinting tool). If the targeted machine is running a service that is known to be vulnerable it may allow a malicious computer attacker to execute a remote exploit in order to gain unauthorized access to the targeted machine.

With Xprobe we combine the host detection stage with the operating system detection stage. With maximum of four datagrams initiated from the prober’s machine, we are able to determine if a certain machine is running an operating system where certain vulnerabilities might be presented.
What is Xprobe?

For example, a Microsoft Windows 2000 based operating system (and Microsoft Windows XP) can be identified with four datagrams traversing over the network in total (two sent and two received).

Considering the amount of default installations of Microsoft Windows 2000 based systems on the Internet (with a vulnerable version of IIS 5.0 up and running) a malicious computer attacker might try to compromise a targeted machine with his third packet sent. This is especially true when our target is a web server (targeting http://www.mysite.com for example).
Xprobe Introduction

- First Introduced at the Black Hat Briefings July 2001 Las – Vegas, USA (v0.0.1). Current versions are 0.0.2 and 0.1.
- The logic behind the tool is called X.
- Compiles on Linux Kernel 2.0.x, 2.2.x, and 2.4.x series, *BSD, Sun Solaris, & IRIX.
- The tool is Fast, Efficient, Small, and Simple.
- Xprobe has 2 development trees: 0.0.x for Static decision Tree (limited), and 0.1.x for Signature Dynamic DB support.
- In the future the static side of Xprobe will be combined from different static logics (depending on the topology) where a smart dynamic fail-over mechanism is to lunch one of the logics if the start parameters of the first logic fails.
- Xprobe version 0.1 works against a signature database. We are looking to add dynamic solution logic as well.
Topology Matters

- Internet
- Local LAN
- Between LAN Segments
Xprobe License

Copyright (C) 2001 Fyodor Yarochkin, Ofir Arkin.

This program is free software; you can redistribute it and/or modify it under the terms of the GNU General Public License as published by the Free Software Foundation; either version 2 of the License, or (at your option) any later version.

All material for nonprofit, educational use only.

This program is distributed in the hope that it will be useful, but WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY; without even the implied warranty of MERCHANTABILITY or FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. See the GNU General Public License for more details.

You should have received a copy of the GNU General Public License along with this program; if not, write to the Free Software Foundation, Inc., 59 Temple Place - Suite 330, Boston, MA 02111-1307, USA.
Xprobe Compilation

tar xvfz xprobe-{release}.tar.gz

cd xprobe-{release}

./configure

(or ./configure --with-libpcap-libraries=/usr/local/lib --with-libcap-includes=/usr/local/include)

make

make install
Xprobe Usage

xprobe [options] hostname[/netmask]

-h help
-v be verbose
-i <interface> run on interface
-p <portnum> use <portnum> udp port for udp probe
-o logfile log everything into a logfile
Example: www.defcon.org
Example: www.defcon.org

```
[root@localhost bin]# ./xprobe -v www.defcon.org
X probe ver. 0.0.2
Interface: ppp0/213.8.199.165
LOG: Target: 216.254.1.254
LOG: Netmask: 255.255.255.255
LOG: probing: 216.254.1.254
LOG [send] -> UDP to 216.254.1.254:32132
LOG: [98 bytes] sent, waiting for response.
TREE: IP total length field value is OK
TREE: Frag bits are OK
LOG [send] -> ICMP echo request to 216.254.1.254
LOG: [68 bytes] sent, waiting for response.
TREE: Microsoft Windows Family TCP stack
TREE: Other Windows-based OS (ttl: 109)
TREE: Other Windows-based OS (98/98SE/NTsp3-/NTsp4+)
LOG [send] -> ICMP time stamp request to 216.254.1.254
LOG: [68 bytes] sent, waiting for response.
Receive timeout, Quitting.
TREE: Windows NTsp3-1|Windows NTsp4+
LOG [send] -> ICMP address mask request to 216.254.1.254
LOG: [48 bytes] sent, waiting for response.
LOG: Receive timeout, Quitting.
```

Sent Datagrams:
- Target: 216.254.1.254
- Netmask: 255.255.255.255
- Probing: 216.254.1.254
- UDP packet sent to 216.254.1.254:32132

Tests Performed:
- ICMP echo request
- ICMP time stamp request
- ICMP address mask request
Example: www.defcon.org

Start Time

10/19-19:31:00.791716 213.8.199.165:32426 -> 216.254.1.254:32132
UDP TTL:250 TOS:0x0 ID:47464 IpLen:20 DgmLen:98 DF
Len: 78
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00

10/19-19:31:01.161716 216.254.1.254 -> 213.8.199.165
ICMP TTL:109 TOS:0x0 ID:4224 IpLen:20 DgmLen:56
Type:3  Code:3  DESTINATION UNREACHABLE: PORT UNREACHABLE
** ORIGINAL DATAGRAM DUMP:
213.8.199.165:32426 -> 216.254.1.254:32132
UDP TTL:232 TOS:0x0 ID:47464 IpLen:20 DgmLen:98
Len: 78
** END OF DUMP
00 00 00 00 45 00 00 62 B9 68 40 00 E8 11 61 77 ....E..b.h@...aw
D5 08 C7 A5 D8 FE 01 FE 7E AA 7D 84 00 4E 8B 78 ........~.}..N.x
Example: www.defcon.org

10/19-19:31:01.161716 213.8.199.165 -> 216.254.1.254
ICMP TTL:250 TOS:0x6 ID:25934 IpLen:20 DgmLen:68 DF
Type:8  Code:123  ID:10421  Seq:30396  ECHO
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00

10/19-19:31:01.531716 216.254.1.254 -> 213.8.199.165
ICMP TTL:109 TOS:0x2 ID:4480 IpLen:20 DgmLen:68 DF
Type:0  Code:0  ID:10421  Seq:30396  ECHO REPLy
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00

10/19-19:31:01.531716 213.8.199.165 -> 216.254.1.254
ICMP TTL:250 TOS:0x0 ID:25006 IpLen:20 DgmLen:68
Type:13  Code:0  TIMESTAMP REQUEST
70 FC C6 DD 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00

ICMP TTL:250 TOS:0x0 ID:48938 IpLen:20 DgmLen:48
Type:17  Code:0  ADDRESS REQUEST
00 00 8E 5A 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00

Finish Time ~380ms [+ 250ms waiting time for the ICMP Address Mask Reply]
Example: www.defcon.org
Example: www.defcon.org
Example: www.defcon.org
Why Xprobe? - Pros

- The ICMP protocol hasn't been looked onto from the point of remote OS fingerprinting. Each 'vendor' implemented it in quite relaxed manner, with 'deviations' which are continuously being reproduced in every release of TCP/IP stack from certain vendor and at times more reliable to be reproduced than TCP 'tests'.

- Using some kind of “AI” (or an analysis) for a scanning tool is a lot smarter than just choking the network with huge amount of packets.

- Small overhead in the Scanning process

- No sudden Denial-of-Service or other ‘surprises’ during the scan.

- Fast - Active OS fingerprinting using the ICMP protocol uses small amount of packets sent and received.

- Stealth - People think they were ‘pinged’ were they actually been mapped.

- Accurate - Today we are using tools that are, sometimes, inaccurate and inconsistent with their results. Version 0.1 makes Xprobe even more accurate.

- Able to differentiate between any Microsoft Windows based TCP/IP Stack
Why Xprobe? - Cons

- Limited to the ICMP and UDP protocols only
- Internet usage can be defeated (smart firewall rule base)
- Failover to TCP, or a correlation with TCP needs to be done, in a smart manner. This will lead to a very accurate Active OS fingerprinting tool using very small amount of traffic to determine a remote OS.
- The Static Decision Tree is limited in adding new operating systems and networking devices (the static decision tree is hardcoded into the binary).
Xprobe – Inner Working

- Xprobe has 2 development trees: 0.0.x for Static decision Tree (limited in the number of operating systems and networking devices it supports), and 0.1.x for Signature Dynamic DB support.

- The difference is with the processing of the results from the tests.
  - With the 0.0.x dev-tree we have a predefined static decision tree. Adding operating systems and networking devices is a hard task since it needs to be hard coded.
  - With the 0.x dev-tree we use a signature database support. This means that in order to add support for an operating system or a networking device all we need is create an entry in the signature database.
  - In a sentence: Version 0.1.x - more accurate, easier and more flexible way to maintain and update signatures.

- Both development trees use the same Active OS fingerprinting methods using the ICMP protocol.
Xprobe - ICMP Error Message Echoing Integrity

Each ICMP error message includes the IP Header and at least the first 8 data bytes of the datagram that triggered the error (the offending datagram); more than 8 bytes may be sent according to RFC 1122.

When sending back an ICMP error message, some stack implementations may alter the offending packet's IP header and the underlying protocol's data, which is echoed back with the ICMP error message.

If a malicious computer attacker examines the types of alteration(s) that have been made to the offending packet's IP header and the underlying protocol data, he may be able to make certain assumptions about the target operating system.

The only two field values we expect to be changed are the IP time-to-live field value and the IP header checksum. The IP TTL field value changes because the field is decreased by one, each time the IP Header is being processed. The IP header checksum is recalculated each time the IP TTL field value is decreased.
Xprobe - ICMP Error Message Echoing Integrity

With Xprobe we will take advantage of ICMP Port Unreachable error messages triggered by UDP datagrams sent to close UDP ports. We will be examining several IP Header and UDP related field values of the offending packet being echoed with the ICMP Error message, for some types of alternation(s).

**IP Total Length Field** - Some operating system IP stacks will add 20 bytes to the original IP total length field value of the offending packet, with the one echoed with the IP header of the offending packet in the ICMP Error message. Some other operating system IP stacks will decrease 20 bytes from the original IP total length field value of the offending packet, with the one echoed with the IP header of the offending packet in the ICMP Error message. ...And some other operating system IP stacks will echo correctly this field value.

**IPID** - Some operating system IP stacks will not echo the IPID field value correctly with their ICMP Error messages. They will change the bit order with the value echoed.

...Other operating system IP stacks will echo correctly this field value
Xprobe - ICMP Error Message Echoing Integrity

3Bits Flags and Offset Fields - Some operating system IP stacks will not echo the 3Bits Flags and Offset fields value correctly with their ICMP Error messages. They will change the bit order with these fields. Other operating system IP stacks will echo correctly this field value.

IP Header Checksum - Some operating system IP stacks will miscalculate the IP Header checksum of the offending packet echoed back with the ICMP error message. Some operating system IP stacks will zero out the IP Header checksum of the offending packet echoed back with the ICMP error message. Other operating system IP stacks will echo correctly this field value.
Xprobe - ICMP Error Message Echoing Integrity

UDP Header Checksum - Some operating system IP stacks will miscalculate the UDP Header checksum of the offending packet echoed back with the ICMP error message. Some operating system IP stacks will zero out the UDP Header checksum of the offending packet echoed back with the ICMP error message. Other operating system IP stacks will echo correctly this field value.

Some operating system stacks will not echo correctly several field values with the same ICMP Error Message, and not just one. This will enable us to use multiple echoing integrity tests with just one ICMP Error messages sent by a targeted machine.
Xprobe - ICMP Error Message Echoing Integrity

An example with AIX 3.2

15:44:56.822182 ppp0 > 32.101.233.50.36196 > y.y.y.y.32132: udp 70 (DF) (ttl 250, id 2279)

IP Total Length Field Value Echoed is 118 while the Original was 98

15:44:57.192182 ppp0 < y.y.y.y > 32.101.233.50: icmp: y.y.y.y udp port 32132 unreachable Offending pkt: 32.101.233.50.36196 > y.y.y.y.32132: udp 70 (DF) (ttl 234, id 2279, bad cksum e99b!) (DF) (ttl 233, id 40032)

IP Header Checksum Echoed is Miscalculated
**Xprobe - ICMP Error Message Echoing Integrity**

**An example with OpenBSD 2.8**

15:47:25.342182 ppp0 > 32.101.233.50.53783 > y.y.y.y.32132: udp 70 (DF)
(ttl 250, id 57568)

```
4500 0062 e0e0 4000 fa11 1dad 2065 e932
yyyy yyyy d217 7d84 004e 2db9 0000 0000
0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000
0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000
0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000
0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000
0000
```

15:47:25.652182 ppp0 < y.y.y.y > 32.101.233.50: icmp: y.y.y.y udp port 32132 unreachable
Offending pkt: 32.101.233.50.53783 > y.y.y.y.32132:
udp 70 (DF) (ttl 238, id 57568) (ttl 241, id 61090)

```
4500 0038 eea2 0000 f101 5925 yyyy yyyy
2065 e932 0303 7f59 0000 0000 4500 004e
e0e0 4000 ee11 29c1 2065 e932 yyyy yyyy
d217 7d84 004e 2db9
```

IP Total Length Field Value Echoed is 20 Bytes less than the Original
Xprobe - Precedence Bits Issues

Each IP Datagram has an 8-bit field called the “TOS Byte”, which represents the IP support for prioritization and Type-of-Service handling.

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Precedence</th>
<th>TOS</th>
<th>MBZ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The “TOS Byte” consists of three fields.

The “Precedence field”, which is 3-bit long, is intended to prioritize the IP Datagram. It has eight levels of prioritization.

The second field, 4 bits long, is the “Type-of-Service” field. It is intended to describe how the network should make tradeoffs between throughput, delay, reliability, and cost in routing an IP Datagram.

The last field, the “MBZ” (must be zero), is unused and must be zero. Routers and hosts ignore this last field. This field is 1 bit long.
Xprobe - Precedence Bits Issues

RFC 1812 Requirements for IP Version 4 Routers:

"4.3.2.5 TOS and Precedence

ICMP Source Quench error messages, if sent at all, MUST have their IP Precedence field set to the same value as the IP Precedence field in the packet that provoked the sending of the ICMP Source Quench message. All other ICMP error messages (Destination Unreachable, Redirect, Time Exceeded, and Parameter Problem) SHOULD have their precedence value set to 6 (INTERNETWORK CONTROL) or 7 (NETWORK CONTROL). The IP Precedence value for these error messages MAY be settable".

Linux Kernel 2.0.x, 2.2.x, 2.4.x will act as routers and will set their Precedence bits field value to 0xc0 with ICMP error messages. Networking devices that will act the same will be Cisco routers based on IOS 11.x-12.x and Foundry Networks switches.
Xprobe - ICMP Error Message Quoting Size

Each ICMP error message includes the IP Header and at least the first 8 data bytes of the datagram that triggered the error (the offending datagram); more than 8 bytes may be sent according to RFC 1122.

Most of the operating systems will quote the offending packet's IP Header and the first 8 data bytes of the datagram that triggered the error. Several operating systems and networking devices will echo more than 8 data bytes.

Which operating systems will quote more?

Linux based on Kernel 2.0.x/2.2.x/2.4.x, Sun Solaris 2.x, HPUX 11.x, MacOS 7.x-9.x, Nokia FW boxes (and other OSs and several Networking Devices) are good examples.
Xprobe - ICMP Error Message Quoting Size

An example with Linux Kernel 2.4.6

15:47:47.729742 ppp0 > x.x.x.x.47612 > y.y.y.y.32132: udp 70 (DF) (ttl 250, id 121)
   4500 0062 0079 4000 fa11 c32d xxxx xxxx
   yyyy yyyy b9fc 7d84 004e 0aed 0000 0000
   0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000
   0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000
   0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000
   0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000

15:47:47.889742 ppp0 < y.y.y.y > x.x.x.x: icmp: y.y.y.y udp port 32132 unreachable
Offending pkt: x.x.x.x.47612 > y.y.y.y.32132: udp 70 (DF) (ttl 242, id 121) [tos 0xc0] (ttl 245, id 45284) (1) Precedence Field Vale is 0xc0

   45c0 007e b0e4 0000 f501 56f6 yyyy yyyy
   xxxx xxxx 0303 ba40 0000 0000 4500 0062
   0079 4000 f211 cb2d xxxx xxxx yyyy yyyy
   b9fc 7d84 004e 0aed 0000 0000 0000 0000
   0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000
   0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000
   0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000

(2) Data Echoed

UDP Header Information
Xprobe - Using Code Field Values Different Than Zero with ICMP Echo Requests

When an ICMP code field value different than zero (0) is sent with an ICMP Echo request message (type 8), operating systems that will answer our query with an ICMP Echo reply message that are based on one of the Microsoft based operating systems will send back an ICMP code field value of zero with their ICMP Echo Reply. Other operating systems (and networking devices) will echo back the ICMP code field value we were using with the ICMP Echo Request.

The Microsoft based operating systems acts in contrast to RFC 792 guidelines which instruct the answering operating systems to only change the ICMP type to Echo reply (type 0), recalculate the checksums and send the ICMP Echo reply away.
RFC 1349 defines the usage of the Type-of-Service field with the ICMP messages. It distinguishes between ICMP error messages (Destination Unreachable, Source Quench, Redirect, Time Exceeded, and Parameter Problem), ICMP query messages (Echo, Router Solicitation, Timestamp, Information request, Address Mask request) and ICMP reply messages (Echo reply, Router Advertisement, Timestamp reply, Information reply, Address Mask reply).

Simple rules are defined:

- An **ICMP error message** is always sent with the default TOS (0x0000)
- An **ICMP request message** may be sent with any value in the TOS field.
- An **ICMP reply message** is sent with the same value in the TOS field as was used in the corresponding ICMP request message.

Some operating systems will ignore RFC 1349 when sending ICMP echo reply messages, and will not send the same value in the TOS field as was used in the corresponding ICMP request message.
Xprobe - TOS Echoing
An example with Microsoft Windows 2000

17:13:13.08131 ppp0 > x.x.x.x > y.y.y.y: icmp: echo request (DF) [tos 0x6,ECT] (ttl 250, id 2779)

17:13:13.23131 ppp0 < y.y.y.y > x.x.x.x.175: icmp: echo reply (DF) (ttl 115, id 59514)
Xprobe - The Rest

DF Bit
Will the DF Bit will be set with a reply ICMP message of any kind?

IP Time-To-Live
IP Time-To-Live Field Value with both ICMP Echo Requests (and ICMP Error Messages) and with ICMP Echo Replies.

DF Bit Echoing
What will happen if we will set the DF bit with an offending packet that will trigger an ICMP error message from a targeted machine?
Will the DF Bit be set in the ICMP error message IP Header?

IPID
Linux Kernels 2.4.0 – 2.4.4 will send ICMP Echo replies (and requests) with an IP ID field value of 0.
Xprobe - How Do We Start?

Query to a closed UDP port
ICMP Port Unreachable Error Message

Query to a definitely closed UDP port
No Reply - Query is blocked

Query to a definitely closed UDP port
ICMP Port Unreachable Error Message
**Xprobe - How Do We Start?**

An example with the Static logic

1. UDP datagram send to a closed UDP port. Datagram sent with the DF Bit Set, and data portion of the request should contain 70 bytes (or more).

   - No ICMP Error Message Received
   - ICMP Port Unreachable Error Message Received

   - Host Filtered / Down
     - Future - Fail Over
     - Logic Might Be ICMP Query Only

   - We Play

- We query a definitely closed UDP port. [http://www.isi.edu/in-notes/iana/assignments/port-numbers](http://www.isi.edu/in-notes/iana/assignments/port-numbers)
- An indicator is being given for the presence of a Filtering Device
- If no ICMP Error Message is received, we might use the ‘query only’ logic
- The size of the Offending UDP datagram is 70 bytes
Xprobe - How Do We Start?

An example with the Static logic

Linux Kernel 2.0.x, 2.2.x, 2.4.x will act as routers and will set their Precedence bits field value to 0xc0 with ICMP error messages. Networking devices that will act the same will be Cisco routers based on IOS 11.x-12.x and Extreme Networks switches.
Xprobe - How Do We Start?

An example with the Static logic

Linux Kernel 2.0.x/2.2.x/2.4.x Based
CISCO Equipment (Routers) with IOS 11.x-12.x
Extreme Networks Switches

Amount of Echoed Data from the Offending Packet

Only the IP Header and 8 Data Bytes from the Offending Packet is echoed with the ICMP Port Unreachable Error message

All the Offending Packet is echoed with the ICMP Port Unreachable Error message

CISCO Equipment (Routers) with IOS 11.x-12.x
Extreme Networks Switches

UDP Checksum Echoed = 0

Extreme Networks Switches

TTL ~ 64

Linux 2.0.x

TTL ~ 255

Linux Kernel 2.2.x/2.4.x Based

UDP Checksum Echoed is OK

CISCO Routers IOS 11.x-12.x
Xprobe - How Do We Start?

An example with the Static logic

- Linux Kernel 2.4.0-2.4.4 will use 0 as its IPID field value with ICMP Query replies (this was later fixed with Linux Kernels 2.4.5 and above).
- Linux Kernel 1.x does not set the Precedence field value to 0xc0 with ICMP error messages.
Example – www.kernel.org

The Linux Kernel Archives

Welcome to the Linux Kernel Archives. This is the primary site for the Linux kernel source, but it has much more than just kernels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protocol</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HTTP</td>
<td><a href="http://www.kernel.org/pub/">http://www.kernel.org/pub/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSYNC</td>
<td>rsync://rsync.kernel.org/pub/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the mirror sites on kernel.org (i.e. our mirrors of other sites) is moving to the server name.
Example – www.kernel.org

```
[root@localhost bin]# ./xprobe -v www.kernel.org
X probe ver. 0.0.2
-----------------------------------
LOG: Target: 204.152.189.113
LOG: Netmask: 255.255.255.255
LOG: probing: 204.152.189.113
LOG: [send]-> UDP to 204.152.189.113:32132
LOG: [98 bytes] sent, waiting for response.
TREE: Cisco IOS 11.x-12.x! Extreme Network Switches/Linux 2.0.x!2.2.x!2.4.x.
TREE: Linux kernel 2.0.x!2.2.x!2.4.x! Based.
TREE: Linux kernel 2.2.x!2.4.x! Based.
LOG: [send]-> ICMP echo request to 204.152.189.113
LOG: [68 bytes] sent, waiting for response.
TREE: ICMP echo/echo reply are not filtered.
FINAL:[ Linux 2.2.x!2.4.5+ kernel ]
[root@localhost bin]#
```
Example – www.kernel.org

UDP TTL:250 TOS:0x0 ID:33305 IpLen:20 DgmLen:98 DF
Len: 78
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 ..............
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 ..............
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 ..............
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 ..............
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 ..............
(3) TTL
10/19-19:22:38.681716 204.152.189.113 -> 213.8.199.165
ICMP TTL:240 TOS:0xC0 ID:60235 IpLen:20 DgmLen:126
Type:3 Code:3 DESTINATION UNREACHABLE: PORT UNREACHABLE
** ORIGINAL DATAGRAM DUMP:
213.8.199.165:14320 -> 204.152.189.113:32132
UDP TTL:238 TOS:0x0 ID:33305 IpLen:20 DgmLen:98
Len: 78
** END OF DUMP
00 00 00 00 45 00 00 62 82 19 40 00 EE 11 E3 B8 ....E..b..@
D5 08 C7 A5 CC BD 71 37 F0 7D 84 00 4E 23 25 .......q7.}..N#%
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 ..............
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 ..............
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 ..............
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 ..............
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 ..............
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 ..............
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 ..............
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 ..............
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 ..............
(2) Extra Data Echoed
(1) Precedence Bits Value = 0xC0

---
Example – www.kernel.org

ICMP Echo Request sent with ICMP Code Field set to a value != 0

10/19-19:22:38.681716 213.8.199.165 -> 204.152.189.113
ICMP TTL:250 TOS:0x6 ID:54019 IpLen:20 DgmLen:68 DF
Type:8  Code:123  ID:23678  Seq:38447  ECHO
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 .................
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 .................
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 .................
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 .................

10/19-19:22:39.031716 204.152.189.113 -> 213.8.199.165
ICMP TTL:240 TOS:0x6 ID:60236 IpLen:20 DgmLen:68
Type:0  Code:123  ID:23678  Seq:38447  ECHO REPLY
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 .................
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 .................
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 .................
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 .................

(4) IP ID != 0

Linux Based on Kernel 2.2.x/2.4.5+

Time Elapsed ~700ms
Xprobe - OS Identification List (Static)

- Microsoft Windows 95
- Microsoft Windows 98
- Microsoft Windows 98 SE
- Microsoft Windows ME
- Microsoft Windows NT4 SP3 and Below
- Microsoft Windows NT4 SP4 and UP
- Microsoft Windows 2000 (including SP1 and SP2)
- Microsoft Windows XP
Xprobe - OS Identification List (Static)

- Linux Kernel 2.0.x
- Linux Kernel 2.2.x (and 2.4.5+)
- Linux Kernel 2.4.0 – 2.4.4
- Sun Solaris 2.3 – 2.8
- Sun OS 4.x
- HPUX 10.x, 11.x
- MacOS 7.x-9.x
- AIX 3.x, 4.x
- Novell Netware
Xprobe - OS Identification List (Static)

- FreeBSD 2.x - 4.1, 4.1 - 4.3, 5.0 (future)
- BSDI 2.x, 3.x, 4.x
- NetBSD 1.x, 1.2.x, 1.3.x, 1.4.x, 1.5.x
- OpenBSD 2.1-2.3, 2.4-2.5, 2.6-2.9
- Ultrix
- OpenVMS
- DGUX / Compaq Tru64
- IBM OS/390
Xprobe - OS Identification List (Static)

- NFR Appliance
- Cabletron SSR 8000
- Cisco Routers with IOS 11.x-12.x
- Extreme Networks Switches
Xprobe - The Signature Based Approach

The Logic Of Initiation of Queries

- The initiation of queries with the static version of Xprobe (v0.0.x) is done according to the decision tree.

- Initiation of queries with the Signature based version of Xprobe (v0.x) currently has a certain logic.
**Xprobe - The Signature Based Approach**

**The Logic Of Initiation of Queries**

- UDP Query Sent to a closed UDP port
- ICMP Echo Request
- Processing of Reply
  - If Needed
  - ICMP Timestamp Request
  - Processing of Reply
  - If Needed
  - ICMP Address Mask Request
  - Processing of Reply
  - If Needed
  - ICMP Information Request
  - Processing of Reply
  - If Needed
  - Includes Extra Tests
Xprobe - The Signature Based Approach

The Logic Of Initiation of Queries

In the future we will initiate queries according to specific differentiations.

This means that if we receive the exact response for our offending UDP query that matches two operating systems, for example, we will not automatically send an ICMP Timestamp request, but we will compare the two signatures in our database and look for the exact query that will give us the ability to differentiate between the two. This way we save bandwidth, and make the fingerprinting/manual detection harder.

With more than two matches for a response we will another algorithm决策 logic.
**Xprobe - The Signature Based Approach**

**The Signature Base**

platform: "Some OS v.1.2-1.3"
udptest:0xc0:8:BAD:<64:0:20+:FLP:0xc0:BAD:BAD
udptest1:0xd8:8:BAD:<64:0:20+:FLP:0xc0:BAD:BAD
icmpecho:ZERO:0xc0:<64:ZERO:FLP:0x40:BAD
icmpts: yes:<64:BAD:BAD
icmpaddr: no
icmpinforeq: no

The signature of an OS comprises of six tests, from whom five are different tests (udptest, udptest1, icmpecho, icmpts, icmpaddr, icmpinforeq).
Xprobe - The Signature Based Approach

udptest


udptest:<value-bitmask>:<value>:<ZERO|BAD|GOOD>:<(=|<|>)<value>:<ZERO|OK>:<value>(|+-):<FLP|OK>:<0|OK>:<ZERO|BAD|GOOD>:<ZERO|BAD|GOOD>
Xprobe - The Signature Based Approach

icmpecho

icmpecho:<ICMP_Code_In_ICMP_Reply>:<Precedence_Bits>:<TT
L>:<IP_ID>:<IP_Off_Bits>:<DF_Bit>

Udptest:<ZERO|OK>:<bitmask>:<(>|=|<)>:<value>:<ZERO|OK:0|O
K>:<bitmask>:<NO|YES>
Xprobe - The Signature Based Approach

icmpts, icmpaddrreq, icmpinfo

icmpaddr: <Answer?>: <TTL>: <IP_ID>: <DF_Bit>

icmpaddr: <YES|NO>: (|=|=) <value>: <ZERO|OK>: <No|YES>
Xprobe - More Examples

www.netbsd.org
Xprobe - More Examples

www.netbsd.org
Xprobe - More Examples

www.net-security.org
Xprobe - More Examples

www.net-security.org
Xprobe - More Examples

www.alldas.de
Xprobe - More Examples

www.alldas.de
Xprobe - More Examples

www.alldas.de
Xprobe - More Examples

www.alldas.de

10/19-19:14:00.871716 213.8.199.165:24493 -> 66.21.117.5:32132
UDP TTL:250 TOS:0x0 ID:56241 IpLen:20 DgmLen:98 DF Len: 78
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00

TTL < 64

10/19-19:14:01.191716 66.21.117.5 -> 213.8.199.165
ICMP TTL:41 TOS:0x0 ID:49572 IpLen:20 DgmLen:56 DF
Type:3  Code:3  DESTINATION UNREACHABLE: PORT UNREACHABLE
** ORIGINAL DATAGRAM DUMP:
213.8.199.165:24493 -> 66.21.117.5:32132
UDP TTL:233 TOS:0x0 ID:56241 IpLen:20 DgmLen:98
Len: 78
** END OF DUMP
00 00 00 00 45 00 00 62 DB B1 40 00 00 00 00 E9 11 62 10 ....E..b..@...b.
D5 08 C7 A5 42 15 75 05 5F AD 7D 84 00 4E 00 00 ....B.u._}..N..
Xprobe - More Examples

www.kill.net
Xprobe - More Examples

www.kill.net
Xprobe - More Examples

www.kill.net

10/19-18:59:00.911716 213.8.199.165:6314 -> 209.68.21.243:32132
UDP TTL:250 TOS:0x0 ID:54470 IpLen:20 DgmLen:98 DF
Len: 78
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
TTL < 255

10/19-18:59:01.211716 209.68.21.243 -> 213.8.199.165
ICMP TTL:238 TOS:0x0 ID:40233 IpLen:20 DgmLen:56 DF
Type:3  Code:3  DESTINATION UNREACHABLE: PORT UNREACHABLE
** ORIGINAL DATAGRAM DUMP:
213.8.199.165:6314 -> 209.68.21.243:32132
UDP TTL:238 TOS:0x0 ID:54470 IpLen:20 DgmLen:98
Len: 78
** END OF DUMP
00 00 00 00 45 00 00 62 D4 C6 40 00 EE 11 33 DE ....E..b..@...3.
D5 08 C7 A5 D1 44 15 F3 18 AA 7D 84 00 4E 00 00 ......D.....}..N..

FreeBSD 4.1.1 – 4.3 (automatically supported by the 0.x version)
Xprobe - Known Problems

- Signature Base Needs to Grow

- No ids evasion is done yet. packets are easy to fingerprint. once core features developed, optional 'masking' of payload data will be done. (ICMP echo request like the once produced with the ‘ping’ utility, DNS queries etc).

- ICMP Echo request is sent with a code field != 0 (still nobody looks at this parameter).
Further Reading

ICMP Usage In Scanning, v3.0 by Ofir Arkin,
http://www.sys-security.com

X – Remote ICMP based OS Fingerprinting Techniques, by Fyodor Yarochkin and Ofir Arkin,
http://www.sys-security.com

RFC 792: Internet Control Message Protocol,
http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc0792.txt

RFC 1122: Requirements for Internet Hosts - Communication Layers,
http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1122.txt

RFC 1256: ICMP Router Discovery Messages,
http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1256.txt

RFC 1349: Type of Service in the Internet Protocol Suite,
http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1349.txt

RFC 1812: Requirements for IP Version 4 Routers,
http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1812.txt
Tools Used

Xprobe written by Fyodor Yarochkin & Ofir Arkin
http://www.sys-security.com
http://www.notlsd.net/xprobe
http://xprobe.sourceforge.net

tcpdump
http://www.tcpdump.org

Snort written by Marty Roesch
http://www.snort.org